Section D – Cost-Effectiveness April 30, 2004 - Draft

Please follow the Instructions for Cost-Effectiveness (in the separate Instructions document) when filling out this section. Cost-effectiveness is one of the three elements required of a 1915(b) waiver. States must demonstrate that their waiver cost projections are reasonable and consistent with statute, regulation and guidance. The State must project waiver expenditures for the upcoming two-year waiver period, called Prospective Year 1 (P1) and Prospective Year 2 (P2). The State must then spend under that projection for the duration of the waiver. In order for CMS to renew a 1915(b) waiver, a State must demonstrate that the waiver was less than the projection during the retrospective two-year period.

A complete application includes the State completing the seven Appendices and the Section D. State Completion Section of the Preprint:

Appendix D1. Member Months

Appendix D2.S Services in the Actual Waiver Cost

Appendix D2.A Administration in the Actual Waiver Cost

Appendix D3. Actual Waiver Cost

Appendix D4. Adjustments in Projection

Appendix D5. Waiver Cost Projection

Appendix D6. RO Targets

Appendix D7. Summary Sheet

States should complete the Appendices first and then describe the Appendices in the State Completion Section of the Preprint. Each State should modify the spreadsheets to reflect their own program structure. Technical assistance is available through each State's CMS Regional Office.

Part I: State Completion Section

A. Assurances

- a. [Required] Through the submission of this waiver, the State assures CMS:
 - The fiscal staff in the Medicaid agency has reviewed these calculations for accuracy and attests to their correctness.
 - The State assures CMS that the actual waiver costs will be less than or equal to or the State's waiver cost projection.
 - Capitated rates will be set following the requirements of 42 CFR 438.6(c) and will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval.
 - Capitated 1915(b)(3) services will be set in an actuarially sound manner based only on approved 1915(b)(3) services and their administration subject to CMS RO prior approval.
 - The State will monitor, on a regular basis, the cost-effectiveness of the waiver (for example, the State may compare the PMPM Actual Waiver Cost from the CMS 64 to the approved Waiver Cost Projections). If changes are needed, the State will submit a prospective amendment modifying the Waiver Cost Projections.
 - The State will submit quarterly actual member month enrollment statistics by MEG in conjunction with the State's submitted CMS-64 forms.

b.	Name of Medicaid Financial Officer making these assurances:
c.	Telephone Number:
d.	E-mail:

e. The State is choosing to report waiver expenditures based on

	spreadsheets designed specifically for reporting by date of service within day of payment. The State will submit an initial test upon the first renewal and then an initial and final test (for the preceding 4 years) upon the second renewal and thereafter.
В.	For Renewal Waivers only (not conversion)- Expedited or Comprehensive Test—To provide information on the waiver program to determine whether the waiver will be subject to the Expedited or Comprehensive cost effectiveness test. Note: All waivers, even those eligible for the Expedited test, are subject to further review at the discretion of CMS and OMB. a The State provides additional services under 1915(b)(3) authority. b The State makes enhanced payments to contractors or providers. c The State uses a sole-source procurement process to procure State Plan services under this waiver. d Enrollees in this waiver receive services under another 1915(b) waiver program that includes additional waiver services under 1915(b)(3) authority; enhanced payments to contractors or providers; or sole-source procurement processes to procure State Plan services. Note: do not mark this box if this is a waiver for transportation services and dental pre-paid ambulatory health plans (PAHPs) that has overlapping populations with another waiver meeting one of these three criteria. For transportation and dental waivers alone, States do not need to consider an overlapping population with another waiver containing additional services, enhanced payments, or sole source procurement as a trigger for the comprehensive waiver test. However, if the transportation services or dental PAHP waiver meets the criteria in a, b, or c for additional services, enhanced payments, or sole source procurement then the State should mark the appropriate box and process the waiver using the Comprehensive Test.
Comp	marked any of the above, you must complete the entire preprint and your renewal waiver is subject to the rehensive Test. If you did not mark any of the above, your renewal waiver (not conversion or initial r) is subject to the Expedited Test: Do not complete Appendix D3 Attach the most recent waiver Schedule D, and the corresponding completed quarters of CMS-64.9 waiver and CMS-64.21U Waiver and CMS 64.10 Waiver forms, and
explar	Your waiver will not be reviewed by OMB <i>at the discretion of CMS and OMB</i> . ollowing questions are to be completed in conjunction with the Worksheet Appendices. All narrative nations should be included in the preprint. Where further clarification was needed, we have included onal information in the preprint.
	Capitated portion of the waiver only: Type of Capitated Contract esponse to this question should be the same as in A.I.b. a MCO b PIHP c PAHP d Other (please explain):
D.	PCCM portion of the waiver only: Reimbursement of PCCM Providers

___date of service within date of payment. The State understands the additional reporting requirements in the CMS-64 and has used the cost effectiveness

X date of payment.

Under	this wa	iver, providers are reimbursed on a fee-for-service basis. PCCMs are reimbursed for patient
manage		n the following manner (please check and describe):
	a. <u>X</u>	Management fees are expected to be paid under this waiver. The management fees were
		calculated as follows.
		1 First Year: \$3.50 per member per month fee
		2. Second Year: \$3.50 per member per month fee
		3. Third Year: \$3.50 per member per month fee
	h	4 Fourth Year: \$3.50 per member per month fee
	b	Enhanced fee for primary care services. Please explain which services will be affected by enhanced fees and how the amount of the enhancement was determined.
	c	Bonus payments from savings generated under the program are paid to case managers who control beneficiary utilization. Under D.I.H.d. , please describe the criteria the State will use for awarding the incentive payments, the method for calculating incentives/bonuses, and the monitoring the State will have in place to ensure that total payments to the providers do not exceed the Waiver Cost Projections (Appendix D5). Bonus payments and incentives for reducing utilization are limited to savings of State Plan service costs under the waiver. Please also
		describe how the State will ensure that utilization is not adversely affected due to incentives inherent in the bonus payments. The costs associated with any bonus arrangements must be accounted for in Appendix D3. Actual Waiver Cost. dOther reimbursement method/amount. \$ Please explain the State's rationale for determining this method or amount.
E.	Appen	ndix D1 – Member Months
Please	mark al	ll that apply.
For Ini	tial Wa	ivers only:
101 1111		Population in the base year data
	u	1 Base year data is from the <u>same</u> population as to be included in the waiver.
		2. Base year data is from a <u>comparable</u> population to the individuals to be included in the waiver. (Include a statement from an actuary or other explanation, which supports the conclusion that the populations are comparable.)
	b.	For an initial waiver, if the State estimates that not all eligible individuals will be enrolled in
	o	managed care (i.e., a percentage of individuals will not be enrolled because of changes in eligibility status and the length of the enrollment process) please note the adjustment here.
		[Required] Explain the reason for any increase or decrease in member months projections from
	d	the base year or over time: [Required] Explain any other variance in eligible member months from BY to P2:
	u	[Required] List the year(s) being used by the State as a base year: If multiple years are
	c	being used please explain:
	f	being used, please explain:
	g	[Required] Explain if any base year data is not derived directly from the State's MMIS fee-for-service claims data:
For Co	nversio	on or Renewal Waivers:
10100		[Required] Population in the base year and R1 and R2 data is the population under the waiver.
		For a renewal waiver, because of the timing of the waiver renewal submittal, the State did not

have a complete R2 to submit. Please ensure that the formulas correctly calculated the

c. X [Required] Explain the reason for any increase or decrease in member months projections from the base year or over time: We are moving toward Statewide mandatory enrollment. d. ___ [Required] Explain any other variance in eligible member months from BY/R1 to P2: e.____[Required] Specify whether the BY/R1/R2 is a State fiscal year (SFY), Federal fiscal year (FFY), or other period: Calendar Year (CY). **Appendix D2.S - Services in Actual Waiver Cost** For Initial Waivers: a.___ [Required] Explain the exclusion of any services from the cost-effectiveness analysis. For States with multiple waivers serving a single beneficiary, please document how all costs for waiver covered individuals taken into account. For Conversion or Renewal Waivers: a. X [Required] Explain if different services are included in the Actual Waiver Cost from the previous period in **Appendix D3** than for the upcoming waiver period in **Appendix D5**. Explain the differences here and how the adjustments were made on **Appendix D5**: No Difference b. X [Required] Explain the exclusion of any services from the cost-effectiveness analysis. For States with multiple waivers serving a single beneficiary, please document how all costs for waiver covered individuals taken into account: _____No Exclusions_____ **Appendix D2.A - Administration in Actual Waiver Cost** [Required] The State allocated administrative costs between the Fee-for-service and managed care program depending upon the program structure. Note: initial programs will enter only FFS costs in the BY. Renewal and Conversion waivers will enter all waiver and FFS administrative costs in the R1 and R2 or BY.

annualized trend rates. Note: it is no longer acceptable to estimate enrollment or cost data for

For Initial Waivers:

F.

G.

For an initial waiver, please document the amount of savings that will be accrued in the State Plan services. Savings under the waiver must be great enough to pay for the waiver administration costs in addition to those costs in FFS. Please state the aggregate budgeted amount projected to be spent on each additional service in the upcoming waiver period in the chart below. Appendix D5 should reflect any savings to be accrued as well as any additional administration expected. The savings should at least offset the administration.

Chart. Initial Waiver Additional Administration Expenses

R2 of the previous waiver period.

Additional Administration Expense	Savings projected in State Plan Services	Inflation Projected	Amount projected to be spent in Prospective Period
(Service Example: Actuary, Independent Assessment, EQRO, Enrollment Broker- See attached documentation for justification of savings.)	\$54,264 savings or .03 PMPM	9.97% or \$5,411	\$59,675 or .03 PMPM P1 \$62,488 or .03 PMPM P2

	Appendix D5 should	Appendix D5 should
	reflect this.	reflect this.
The al	llocation method for either initial or renewal waivers is exp	lained below:
a	The State allocates the administrative costs to the manage	d care program based upon the number
	of waiver enrollees as a percentage of total Medicaid enrollees	ollees. <i>Note: this is appropriate for</i>
	MCO/PCCM programs.	
b	The State allocates administrative costs based upon the pr	rogram cost as a percentage of the total
	Medicaid budget. It would not be appropriate to allocate	
	health program based upon the percentage of enrollees en	
	statewide PIHP/PAHP programs.	$TI = I = \dots = J$

H. Appendix D3 – Actual Waiver Cost

Other (Please explain).

Total

a.___ The State is requesting a 1915(b)(3) waiver in **Section A.I.A.1.c** and will be providing non-state plan medical services. The State will be spending a portion of its waiver savings for additional services under the waiver.

For an initial waiver, in the chart below, please document the amount of savings that will be accrued in the State Plan services. The amount of savings that will be spent on 1915(b)(3) services must be reflected on **Column T of Appendix D5** in the initial spreadsheet Appendices. Please include a justification of the amount of savings expected and the cost of the 1915(b)(3) services. Please state the aggregate budgeted amount projected to be spent on each additional service in the upcoming waiver period in the chart below. This amount should be reflected in the State's Waiver Cost Projection for P1 and P2 on **Column W in Appendix D5**.

Chart: Initial Waiver State Specific 1915(b)(3) Service Expenses and Projections

1915(b)(3) Service	Savings projected in State Plan Services	Inflation projected	Amount projected to be spent in Prospective Period
(Service Example: 1915(b)(3) step-down nursing care services financed from savings from inpatient hospital care. See attached documentation for justification of savings.)	\$54,264 savings or .03 PMPM	9.97% or \$5,411	\$59,675 or .03 PMPM P1 \$62,488 or .03 PMPM P2
Total	(PMPM in Appendix D5 Column T x projected member months should correspond)		(PMPM in Appendix D5 Column W x projected member months should correspond)

For a renewal or conversion waiver, in the chart below, please state the actual amount spent on each 1915(b)(3) service in the retrospective waiver period. This amount must be built into the State's Actual Waiver Cost for R1 and R2 (BY for Conversion) on **Column H in Appendix D3**.

Please state the aggregate amount of 1915(b)(3) savings budgeted for each additional service in the upcoming waiver period in the chart below. This amount must be built into the State's Waiver Cost Projection for P1 and P2 on **Column W in Appendix D5**.

Chart: Renewal/Conversion Waiver State Specific 1915(b)(3) Service Expenses and Projections

1915(b)(3) Service	Amount Spent in Retrospective Period	Inflation projected	Amount projected to be spent in Prospective Period
(Service Example: 1915(b)(3) step-down nursing care services financed from savings from inpatient hospital care. See attached documentation for justification of savings.)	\$1,751,500 or \$.97 PMPM R1 \$1,959,150 or \$1.04 PMPM R2 or BY in Conversion	8.6% or \$169,245	\$2,128,395 or 1.07 PMPM in P1 \$2,291,216 or 1.10 PMPM in P2
Total	(PMPM in Appendix D3 Column H x member months should correspond)		(PMPM in Appendix D5 Column W x projected member months should correspond)

b.___ The State is including voluntary populations in the waiver. Describe below how the issue of selection bias has been addressed in the Actual Waiver Cost calculations:

c.___ Capitated portion of the waiver only -- Reinsurance or Stop/Loss Coverage: Please note how the State will be providing or requiring reinsurance or stop/loss coverage as required under the regulation. States may require MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs to purchase reinsurance. Similarly, States may provide stop-loss coverage to MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs when MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs exceed certain payment thresholds for individual enrollees. Stop loss provisions usually set limits on maximum days of coverage or number of services for which the MCO/PIHP/PAHP will be responsible. If the State plans to provide stop/loss coverage, a description is required. The State must document the probability of incurring costs in excess of the stop/loss level and the frequency of such occurrence based on FFS experience. The expenses per capita (also known as the stoploss premium amount) should be deducted from the capitation year projected costs. In the initial application, the effect should be neutral. In the renewal report, the actual reinsurance cost and claims cost should be reported in Actual Waiver Cost.

Basis and Method:

1	The State does not provide stop/loss protection for MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs, but requires MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs to purchase reinsurance coverage privately. No adjustment was necessary.
2	The State provides stop/loss protection (please describe):
dIncenti	ve/bonus/enhanced Payments for both Capitated and fee-for-service Programs:
1	[For the capitated portion of the waiver] the total payments under a capitated contract
	include any incentives the State provides in addition to capitated payments under the
	waiver program. The costs associated with any bonus arrangements must be accounted
	for in the capitated costs (Column D of Appendix D3 Actual Waiver Cost). Regular
	State Plan service capitated adjustments would apply.
	.Document the criteria for awarding the incentive payments.
i	.Document the method for calculating incentives/bonuses, and
ii	Document the monitoring the State will have in place to ensure that total payments to the

2.____ For the fee-for-service portion of the waiver, all fee-for-service must be accounted for in the fee-for-service incentive costs (Column G of Appendix D3 Actual Waiver Cost). For PCCM providers, the amount listed should match information provided in D.I.D Reimbursement of Providers. Any adjustments applied would need to meet the special criteria for fee-for-service incentives if the State elects to provide incentive payments in addition to management fees under the waiver program (See D.I.I.e and D.I.J.e)

MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs do not exceed the Waiver Cost Projection.

- i. Document the criteria for awarding the incentive payments.
- ii. Document the method for calculating incentives/bonuses, and
- iii. Document the monitoring the State will have in place to ensure that total payments to the MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs/PCCMs do not exceed the Waiver Cost Projection.

Current Initial Waiver Adjustments in the preprint

I. Appendix D4 – Initial Waiver – Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP

Initial Waiver Cost Projection & Adjustments (If this is a Conversion or Renewal waiver for DOP, skip to J. Conversion or Renewal Waiver Cost Projection and Adjustments): States may need to make certain adjustments to the Base Year in order to accurately reflect the waiver program in P1 and P2. If the State has made an adjustment to its Base Year, the State should note the adjustment and its location in Appendix D4, and include information on the basis and method used in this section of the preprint. Where noted, certain adjustments should be mathematically accounted for in Appendix D5.

The following adjustments are appropriate for initial waivers. Any adjustments that are required are indicated as such.

a. **State Plan Services Trend Adjustment** – the State must trend the data forward to reflect cost and utilization increases. The BY data already includes the actual Medicaid cost changes to date for the population enrolled in the program. This adjustment reflects the expected cost and utilization increases in the managed care program from BY to the end of the waiver (P2). Trend adjustments may be service-specific. The adjustments may be expressed as percentage factors. Some states calculate utilization and cost increases separately, while other states calculate a single trend rate encompassing both utilization and cost increases. The State must document the method used and how utilization and cost increases are not duplicative if they are calculated separately. **This adjustment must be mutually exclusive of**

	rammatic/policy/pricing changes and CANNOT be taken twice. The State must document
	it ensures there is no duplication with programmatic/policy/pricing changes.
1	[Required, if the State's BY is more than 3 months prior to the beginning of P1] The State is
	using actual State cost increases to trend past data to the current time period (i.e., trending from
	1999 to present) The actual trend rate used is: Please document how that trend
•	was calculated:
2	
	future, the State is using a predictive trend of either State historical cost increases or national or
	regional factors that are predictive of future costs (same requirement as capitated ratesetting
	regulations) (i.e., trending from present into the future).
	i State historical cost increases. Please indicate the years on which the rates are based: base
	years In addition, please indicate the mathematical method used
	(multiple regression, linear regression, chi-square, least squares, exponential smoothing,
	etc.). Finally, please note and explain if the State's cost increase calculation includes
	more factors than a price increase such as changes in technology, practice patterns, and/or
	units of service PMPM.
	ii National or regional factors that are predictive of this waiver's future costs. Please
	indicate the services and indicators used Please indicate how this
	factor was determined to be predictive of this waiver's future costs. Finally, please note
	and explain if the State's cost increase calculation includes more factors than a price
	increase such as changes in technology, practice patterns, and/or units of service PMPM.
3	
	patterns that would occur in the waiver separate from cost increase. Utilization adjustments
	made were service-specific and expressed as percentage factors. The State has documented how
	utilization and cost increases were not duplicated. This adjustment reflects the changes in
	utilization between the BY and the beginning of the P1 and between years P1 and P2.
	i. Please indicate the years on which the utilization rate was based (if calculated separately
	only).
	ii. Please document how the utilization did not duplicate separate cost increase trends.
	ii. I lease document now the atmization and not duplicate separate cost increase trends.
	Plan Services Programmatic/Policy/Pricing Change Adjustment: This adjustment should
	int for any programmatic changes that are not cost neutral and that affect the Waiver Cost
	ction. Adjustments to the BY data are typically for changes that occur after the BY (or after the
collec	ction of the BY data) and/or during P1 and P2 that affect the overall Medicaid program. For
exam	ple, changes in rates, changes brought about by legal action, or changes brought about by
legisl	ation. For example, Federal mandates, changes in hospital payment from per diem rates to
Diag	nostic Related Group (DRG) rates or changes in the benefit coverage of the FFS program. This

• Additional State Plan Services (+)

Others:

• Reductions in State Plan Services (-)

approval of capitation rates is contingent upon approval of the SPA.

• Legislative or Court Mandated Changes to the Program Structure or fee schedule not accounted for in cost increases or pricing (+/-)

adjustment must be mutually exclusive of trend and CANNOT be taken twice. The State must document how it ensures there is no duplication with trend. If the State is changing one of the

aspects noted above in the FFS State Plan then the State needs to estimate the impact of that adjustment. *Note: FFP on rates cannot be claimed until CMS approves the SPA per the 1/2/01 SMD letter. Prior*

1		tate has chosen not to make an adjustment because there were no programmatic or policy
	_	es in the FFS program after the MMIS claims tape was created. In addition, the State
		pates no programmatic or policy changes during the waiver period.
2		justment was necessary. The adjustment(s) is(are) listed and described below:
	i	The State projects an externally driven State Medicaid managed care rate
		increases/decreases between the base and rate periods.
		For each change, please report the following:
		AThe size of the adjustment was based upon a newly approved State Plan
		Amendment (SPA). PMPM size of adjustment
		B The size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA. Approximate PMPM size
		of adjustment
		CDetermine adjustment based on currently approved SPA. PMPM size of
		adjustment
		DOther (please describe):
	ii	The State has projected no externally driven managed care rate increases/decreases in the
		managed care rates.
	iii	Changes brought about by legal action (please describe):
		For each change, please report the following:
		AThe size of the adjustment was based upon a newly approved State Plan
		Amendment (SPA). PMPM size of adjustment
		BThe size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA. Approximate PMPM size
		of adjustment
		CDetermine adjustment based on currently approved SPA. PMPM size of
		adjustment
		DOther (please describe):
	iv.	Changes in legislation (please describe):
		For each change, please report the following:
		AThe size of the adjustment was based upon a newly approved State Plan
		Amendment (SPA). PMPM size of adjustment
		BThe size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA. Approximate PMPM size
		of adjustment
		CDetermine adjustment based on currently approved SPA. PMPM size of
		adjustment
		DOther (please describe):
	v	Other (please describe):
		AThe size of the adjustment was based upon a newly approved State Plan
		Amendment (SPA). PMPM size of adjustment
		B The size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA. Approximate PMPM size
		of adjustment
		CDetermine adjustment based on currently approved SPA. PMPM size of
		adjustment
		DOther (please describe):
		DOther (preuse describe).
A 31	. • . 4 4 • .	

c.___ Administrative Cost Adjustment*: The administrative expense factor in the initial waiver is based on the administrative costs for the eligible population participating in the waiver for fee-for-service. Examples of these costs include per claim claims processing costs, per record PRO review costs, and Surveillance and Utilization Review System (SURS) costs. Note: one-time administration costs should not be built into the cost-effectiveness test on a long-term basis. States should use all relevant Medicaid administration claiming rules for administration costs they attribute to the managed care program. If

	pact of that No adjustn	ent was necessary and no change is anticipated.
	•	strative adjustment was made.
		administrative functions will change in the period between the beginning of P1 and
		end of P2. Please describe:
		Determine administration adjustment based upon an approved contract or
	_	cost allocation plan amendment (CAP).
	В	Determine administration adjustment based on pending contract or cost
		allocation plan amendment (CAP).
	C	Other (please describe):
	ii FFS	cost increases were accounted for.
	A	Determine administration adjustment based upon an approved contract or cost
		allocation plan amendment (CAP).
	B	Determine administration adjustment based on pending contract or cost allocation
		plan amendment (CAP).
		Other (please describe):
		quired, when State Plan services were purchased through a sole source procurement
		n a governmental entity. No other State administrative adjustment is allowed.] If cost
		ease trends are unknown and in the future, the State must use the lower of: Actual
		e administration costs trended forward at the State historical administration trend rate
		Actual State administration costs trended forward at the State Plan services trend rate.
		ase document both trend rates and indicate which trend rate was used.
	A.	Actual State Administration costs trended forward at the State historical
		administration trend rate. Please indicate the years on which the rates are based:
		base years In addition, please indicate the mathematical
		method used (multiple regression, linear regression, chi-square, least squares,
		exponential smoothing, etc.). Finally, please note and explain if the State's cost
		increase calculation includes more factors than a price increase.
	В.	Actual State Administration costs trended forward at the State Plan Service Trend
		rate. Please indicate the State Plan Service trend rate from Section D.I.I.a. above
		·
* For (Combination	Capitated and PCCM Waivers: If the capitated rates are adjusted by the amount of
		Capitated and PCCM Waivers: If the capitated rates are adjusted by the amount of ments, then the PCCM Actual Waiver Cost must be calculated less the administration
admin	istration pay	ments, then the PCCM Actual Waiver Cost must be calculated less the administration
admin	istration pay	<u>.</u>
admin amoun	istration pay nt. For additi	ments, then the PCCM Actual Waiver Cost must be calculated less the administration on al information, please see Special Note at end of this section.
admin amoun 1915(I	istration pay nt. For additi b)(3) Adjus	ments, then the PCCM Actual Waiver Cost must be calculated less the administration on al information, please see Special Note at end of this section. ment: The State must document the amount of State Plan Savings that will be used to
admin amoun 1915 (I provid	istration pay nt. For additi b)(3) Adjus le additional	ments, then the PCCM Actual Waiver Cost must be calculated less the administration on al information, please see Special Note at end of this section. ment: The State must document the amount of State Plan Savings that will be used to 1915(b)(3) services in Section D.I.H.a above. The Base Year already includes the
admin amoun 1915(I provid actual	istration pay nt. For additi b)(3) Adjus le additional trend for the	ments, then the PCCM Actual Waiver Cost must be calculated less the administration on al information, please see Special Note at end of this section. ment: The State must document the amount of State Plan Savings that will be used to 1915(b)(3) services in Section D.I.H.a above. The Base Year already includes the State Plan services in the program. This adjustment reflects the expected trend in the
admin amoun 1915(l provid actual 1915(l	istration pay nt. For additi b)(3) Adjust le additional trend for the b)(3) service	ments, then the PCCM Actual Waiver Cost must be calculated less the administration on al information, please see Special Note at end of this section. ment: The State must document the amount of State Plan Savings that will be used to 1915(b)(3) services in Section D.I.H.a above. The Base Year already includes the State Plan services in the program. This adjustment reflects the expected trend in the set between the Base Year and P1 of the waiver and the trend between the beginning of
adminamoun 1915(I provid actual 1915(I the pro	istration pay nt. For additi b)(3) Adjust le additional trend for the b)(3) service ogram (P1) a	ments, then the PCCM Actual Waiver Cost must be calculated less the administration on al information, please see Special Note at end of this section. ment: The State must document the amount of State Plan Savings that will be used to 1915(b)(3) services in Section D.I.H.a above. The Base Year already includes the State Plan services in the program. This adjustment reflects the expected trend in the set between the Base Year and P1 of the waiver and the trend between the beginning of and the end of the program (P2). Trend adjustments may be service-specific and
adminiamoun 1915(I provid actual 1915(I the pro	istration pay nt. For additi b)(3) Adjust le additional trend for the b)(3) service ogram (P1) assed as perce	ments, then the PCCM Actual Waiver Cost must be calculated less the administration on al information, please see Special Note at end of this section. ment: The State must document the amount of State Plan Savings that will be used to 1915(b)(3) services in Section D.I.H.a above. The Base Year already includes the State Plan services in the program. This adjustment reflects the expected trend in the setween the Base Year and P1 of the waiver and the trend between the beginning of and the end of the program (P2). Trend adjustments may be service-specific and intage factors.
adminiamount 1915(I provid actual 1915(I the pro	istration pay nt. For additi b)(3) Adjust le additional trend for the b)(3) service ogram (P1) assed as perce [Required,	ments, then the PCCM Actual Waiver Cost must be calculated less the administration on al information, please see Special Note at end of this section. ment: The State must document the amount of State Plan Savings that will be used to 1915(b)(3) services in Section D.I.H.a above. The Base Year already includes the State Plan services in the program. This adjustment reflects the expected trend in the set between the Base Year and P1 of the waiver and the trend between the beginning of nd the end of the program (P2). Trend adjustments may be service-specific and intage factors. if the State's BY is more than 3 months prior to the beginning of P1 to trend BY to
adminiamoun 1915(I provid actual 1915(I the pro	istration pay nt. For additional le additional trend for the b)(3) service ogram (P1) a ssed as perce [Required, P1] The St	ments, then the PCCM Actual Waiver Cost must be calculated less the administration on al information, please see Special Note at end of this section. ment: The State must document the amount of State Plan Savings that will be used to 1915(b)(3) services in Section D.I.H.a above. The Base Year already includes the State Plan services in the program. This adjustment reflects the expected trend in the setween the Base Year and P1 of the waiver and the trend between the beginning of and the end of the program (P2). Trend adjustments may be service-specific and intage factors.

d.

	2	trends are	unkn	en the State's lown and in the trend for State	ne future (i.e	., trending			-		
		i. St	ate Pl	lan Service tre	end						
		A.		Please indicat	e the State F	Plan Servic	e trend ra	ate from S	ection D).I.I.a . above	,
				·							
e.	this ac 1. Li 2. Li	djustment reist the State	eports Plan tive t	s trend for that trend rate by I trend rate by N ences:	t factor. Tre MEG from S	end is limite Section D.	ed to the I.I.a	rate for St	ate Plan		, then
f.	Howe effect 1.	de or excludever, GME priveness calculated where we as adjust	e GM cayme culations sure (ssure (ment.	ducation (GM) IE payments fents on behalf ons. CMS that GM CMS that GM . (Please describe):	For managed For managed For managed IE payments IE payments	care partic l care waiv are includ are includ	cipant uti er partici led from l	lization in pants mus base year	the capit at be included the theorem the the theorem t	tation rates. uded in cost-	
	Ba th 1.	ase Year da at adjustme GME i Gl be ii Gl	ta sho nt and adjus ME ra ginni ME ra P1 ar	e GME paymed account for its a	ed to reflect it in Append ade. nt method clase describe) nt method is P2 (please describe)	this changed in to the projected escribe).	e and the	State need to be tween the in the pe	ds to esti	imate the important	pact of
	1. 2. 3.	Determediately Determediately Determediately	mine (GME adjustm GME adjustm GME adjustm ase describe):	nent based or	n a pending	g SPA.			endment (SP	A).
g.	for co syster CMS- the Cl fraud 1.	overed Medin should be -64.9 Waive MS summan and abuse). Paymo Recou	caid Sincluser formation of the control of the cont	sents not Proc State Plan servided in the Wa m should be re rm (line 9) wo payments or re putside of the lants outside of	vices include aiver Cost Preported and auld not be precoupments MMIS were of the MMIS	ed in the wrojection. A adjusted he ut into the s made sho made. Th	vaiver but Any adjustere. Any waiver could be act toose paymenade.	processed stments the adjustment ost-effective counted for nents inclusion.	I outside at would nts that w veness (e or in App ide (pleas	of the MMI l appear on the would appear e.g., TPL, propendix D5. se describe):	S ne on robate,

h.	Copayments Adjustment: This adjustment accounts for any copayments that are collected under the			
	FFS program but will not be collected in the waiver program. States must ensure that these copayments			
	are included in the Waiver Cost Projection if not to be collected in the capitated program.			
	Basis and Method:			
	1 Claims data used for Waiver Cost Projection development already included copayments and no adjustment was necessary.			
	2 State added estimated amounts of copayments for these services in FFS that were not in the capitated program. Please account for this adjustment in Appendix D5.			
	3 The State has not to made an adjustment because the same copayments are collected in managed care and FFS.			
	4 Other (please describe):			
	If the State's FFS copayment structure has changed in the period between the end of the BY and the			
	beginning of P1, the State needs to estimate the impact of this change adjustment.			
	1 No adjustment was necessary and no change is anticipated.			
	2 The copayment structure changed in the period between the end of the BY and the beginning of P1. Please account for this adjustment in Appendix D5.			
	Method:			
	1 Determine copayment adjustment based upon a newly approved State Plan Amendment (SPA).			
	2 Determine copayment adjustment based on pending SPA.			
	3 Determine copayment adjustment based on currently approved copayment SPA.			
	4 Other (please describe):			
i.	Third Party Liability (TPL) Adjustment: This adjustment should be used only if the State is converting from fee-for-service to capitated managed care, and will delegate the collection and retention of TPL payments for post-pay recoveries to the MCO/PIHP/PAHP. If the MCO/PIHP/PAHP will collect and keep TPL, then the Base Year costs should be reduced by the amount to be collected. Basis and method: 1 No adjustment was necessary			
	 2. Base Year costs were cut with post-pay recoveries already deducted from the database. 3. State collects TPL on behalf of MCO/PIHP/PAHP enrollees 			
	4 The State made this adjustment:*			
	i Post-pay recoveries were estimated and the base year costs were reduced by the amount of TPL to be collected by MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs. Please account for this adjustment in Appendix D5.			
	ii Other (please describe):			
j.	Pharmacy Rebate Factor Adjustment: Rebates that States receive from drug manufacturers should b			
	deducted from Base Year costs if pharmacy services are included in the fee-for-service or capitated base			
	If the base year costs are not reduced by the rebate factor, an inflated BY would result. Pharmacy			
	rebates should also be deducted from FFS costs if pharmacy services are impacted by the waiver but no			
	capitated.			
	Basis and Method:			
	1 Determine the percentage of Medicaid pharmacy costs that the rebates represent and adjust the base year costs by this percentage. States may want to make separate adjustments for prescription versus over the counter drugs and for different rebate percentages by population.			
	States may assume that the rebates for the targeted population occur in the same proportion as			

	the rebates for the total Medicaid population. Please account for this adjustment in Appendix D5 .
	2 The State has not made this adjustment because pharmacy is not an included capitation service and the capitated contractor's providers do not prescribe drugs that are paid for by the State in FFS.
	3 Other (please describe):
k.	Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) Adjustment: Section 4721 of the BBA specifies that DSH payments must be made solely to hospitals and not to MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs. Section 4721(c) permits an exemption to the direct DSH payment for a limited number of States. If this exemption applies to the State, please identify and describe under "Other" including the supporting documentation. Unless the exemption in Section 4721(c) applies or the State has a FFS-only waiver (e.g., selective contracting waiver for hospital services where DSH is specifically included), DSH payments are not to be included in cost-effectiveness calculations. 1 We assure CMS that DSH payments are excluded from base year data. 2 We assure CMS that DSH payments are excluded from the base year data using an adjustment. 3 Other (please describe):
1.	Population Biased Selection Adjustment (Required for programs with Voluntary Enrollment): Costeffectiveness calculations for waiver programs with voluntary populations must include an analysis of the population that can be expected to enroll in the waiver. If the State finds that the population most likely to enroll in the waiver differs significantly from the population that will voluntarily remain in FFS, the Base Year costs must be adjusted to reflect this. 1 This adjustment is not necessary as there are no voluntary populations in the waiver program. 2 This adjustment was made: aPotential Selection bias was measured in the following manner: b The base year costs were adjusted in the following manner:
m.	 FQHC and RHC Cost-Settlement Adjustment: Base Year costs should not include cost-settlement or supplemental payments made to FQHCs/RHCs. The Base Year costs should reflect fee-for-service payments for services provided at these sites, which will be built into the capitated rates. 1 We assure CMS that FQHC/RHC cost-settlement and supplemental payments are excluded from the Base Year costs. Payments for services provided at FQHCs/RHCs are reflected in the following manner: 2 We assure CMS that FQHC/RHC cost-settlement and supplemental payments are excluded from the base year data using an adjustment. 3 Other (please describe):
Spec	ial Note section:
~ ~ ~ ~	

Waiver Cost Projection Reporting: Special note for new capitated programs:

The State is implementing the first year of a new capitated program (converting from fee-for-service reimbursement). The first year that the State implements a capitated program, the State will be making capitated payments for future services while it is reimbursing FFS claims from retrospective periods. This will cause State expenditures in the initial period to be much higher than usual. In order to adjust for this double payment, the State should not use the first quarter of costs (immediately following implementation) from the CMS-64 to calculate future Waiver Cost Projections, unless the State can distinguish and exclude dates of services prior to the implementation of the capitated program.

a	The State has excluded the first quarter of costs of the CMS-64 from the cost-effectiveness
	calculations and is basing the cost-effectiveness projections on the remaining quarters of data.
b	The State has included the first quarter of costs in the CMS-64 and excluded claims for dates of
	services prior to the implementation of the capitated program.

Special Note for initial combined waivers (Capitated and PCCM) only:

Adjustments Unique to the Combined Capitated and PCCM Cost-effectiveness Calculations -- Some adjustments to the Waiver Cost Projection are applicable only to the capitated program. When these adjustments are taken, there will need to be an offsetting adjustment to the PCCM Base year Costs in order to make the PCCM costs comparable to the Waiver Cost Projection. In other words, because we are creating a single combined Waiver Cost Projection applicable to the PCCM and capitated waiver portions of the waiver, offsetting adjustments (positive and/or negative) need to be made to the PCCM Actual Waiver Cost for certain capitated-only adjustments. When an offsetting adjustment is made, please note and include an explanation and your calculations. The most common offsetting adjustment is noted in the chart below and indicated with an asterisk (*) in the preprint.

Adjustment	Capitated Program	PCCM Program
Adjustment Administrative Adjustment	Capitated Program The Capitated Waiver Cost Projection includes an administrative cost adjustment. That adjustment is added into the combined Waiver Cost Projection adjustment. (This in effect adds an amount for administration to the Waiver Cost Projection for both the	PCCM Program The PCCM Actual Waiver Cost must include an exact offsetting addition of the amount of the PMPM Waiver Cost Projection adjustment. (While this may seem counter-intuitive, adding the exact amount to the PCCM PMPM Actual Waiver Cost will subtract out of the equation:
	PCCM and Capitated program. You must now remove the impermissible costs from the PCCM With Waiver Calculations See the next column)	PMPM Waiver Cost Projection – PMPM Actual Waiver Cost = PMPM Cost-effectiveness).

- n. **Incomplete Data Adjustment (DOS within DOP only)** The State must adjust base period data to account for incomplete data. When fee-for-service data is summarized by date of service (DOS), data for a particular period of time is usually incomplete until a year or more after the end of the period. In order to use recent DOS data, the State must calculate an estimate of the services ultimate value after all claims have been reported. Such incomplete data adjustments are referred to in different ways, including "lag factors," "incurred but not reported (IBNR) factors," or incurring factors. If date of payment (DOP) data is used, completion factors are not needed, but projections are complicated by the fact that payments are related to services performed in various former periods. *Documentation of assumptions and estimates is required for this adjustment.*
 - 1.____ Using the special DOS spreadsheets, the State is estimating DOS within DOP. Incomplete data adjustments are reflected in the following manner on **Appendix D5** for services to be complete and on **Appendix D7** to create a 12-month DOS withing DOP projection:
 - 2.___ The State is using Date of Payment only for cost-effectiveness no adjustment is necessary.
 - 3.___ Other (please describe):

- o. **PCCM Case Management Fees (Initial PCCM waivers only)** The State must add the case management fees that will be claimed by the State under new PCCM waivers. There should be sufficient savings under the waiver to offset these fees. The new PCCM case management fees will be accounted for with an adjustment on **Appendix D5.**1.____ This adjustment is not necessary as this is not an initial PCCM waiver in the waiver program.

 2.____ This adjustment was made in the following manner:
- p. **Other adjustments**: Federal law, regulation, or policy change: If the federal government changes policy affecting Medicaid reimbursement, the State must adjust P1 and P2 to reflect all changes.
 - Once the State's FFS institutional excess UPL is phased out, CMS will no longer match excess institutional UPL payments.
 - Excess payments addressed through transition periods should not be included in the 1915(b) cost-effectiveness process. Any State with excess payments should exclude the excess amount and only include the supplemental amount under 100% of the institutional UPL in the cost effectiveness process.
 - ♦ For all other payments made under the UPL, including supplemental payments, the costs should be included in the cost effectiveness calculations. This would apply to PCCM enrollees and to PAHP, PIHP or MCO enrollees if the institutional services were provided as FFS wrap-around. The recipient of the supplemental payment does not matter for the purposes of this analysis.
 - 1.___ No adjustment was made.
 - 2.___ This adjustment was made (Please describe) This adjustment must be mathematically accounted for in **Appendix D5**.

J. Appendix D4 -- Conversion or Renewal Waiver Cost Projection and Adjustments.

If this is an Initial waiver submission, skip this section: States may need to make certain adjustments to the Waiver Cost Projection in order to accurately reflect the waiver program. If the State has made an adjustment to its Waiver Cost Projection, the State should note the adjustment and its location in **Appendix D4**, and include information on the basis and method, and mathematically account for the adjustment in **Appendix D5**.

CMS should examine the Actual Waiver Costs to ensure that if the State did not implement a programmatic adjustment built into the previous Waiver Cost Projection, that the State did not expend funds associated with the adjustment that was not implemented.

If the State implements a one-time only provision in its managed care program (typically administrative costs), the State should not reflect the adjustment in a permanent manner. CMS should examine future Waiver Cost Projections to ensure one-time-only adjustments are not permanently incorporated into the projections.

a. **State Plan Services Trend Adjustment** – the State must trend the data forward to reflect cost and utilization increases. The R1 and R2 (BY for conversion) data already include the actual Medicaid cost changes for the population enrolled in the program. This adjustment reflects the expected cost and utilization increases in the managed care program from R2 (BY for conversion) to the end of the waiver (P2). Trend adjustments may be service-specific and expressed as percentage factors. Some states calculate utilization and cost separately, while other states calculate a single trend rate. The State must document the method used and how utilization and cost increases are not duplicative if they are calculated separately. **This adjustment must be mutually exclusive of programmatic/policy/pricing changes and CANNOT be taken twice. The State must document how it ensures there is no duplication with programmatic/policy/pricing changes.**

1. X _ [Required, if the State's BY or R2 is more than 3 months prior to the beginning of P1] The State is using actual State cost increases to trend past data to the current time period (i.e., trending from 1999 to present) The actual trend rates were developed by MEG and trended forward using simple linear regression. The rates were as follows:

MEG	P1	P2
AFDC Related	13.4%	5.9%
PWC Adult *	3.8%	4.3%
AABD/QMB	6.4%	3.6%
CHIP A	8.7%	2.3%

^{*} Base Year -1 to Base Year increase in Adult PWC PMPM 3.8% (Cy02 to CY03). Calculated trended figures were counter intuitive to experience so were replaced with Global Insight's CPI-Medical Care figures in P1 & P2: P1 = 2004:Q1 3.8% and P2 = 2005:Q1 4.3% (%MOVAVG).

- 2. X [Required, to trend BY/R2 to P1 and P2 in the future] When cost increases are unknown and in the future, the State is using a predictive trend of either State historical cost increases or national or regional factors that are predictive of future costs (same requirement as capitated ratesetting regulations) (i.e., trending from present into the future).
 - i. _X _ State historical cost increases. Please indicate the years on which the rates are based:

 base years 10/01 through 12/03 ___ In addition, please indicate the mathematical method used (multiple regression, linear regression, chi-square, least squares, exponential smoothing, etc.). Finally, please note and explain if the State's cost increase calculation includes more factors than a price increase such as changes in technology, practice patterns, and/or units of service PMPM.
 - ii. X National or regional factors that are predictive of this waiver's future costs. Please indicate the services and indicators used: For PWC Adult, the trending values were counter-intuitive so were discarded. Instead we utilized the increase in CPI-Medical services as set forth by Global Insight for P1 and P2 (see footnote in Appendix D4) The factors were consistent with what we actually experienced for the increase in PMPM costs from BY-1 to BY (Cy02 to CY03). In addition, please indicate how this factor was determined to be predictive of this waiver's future costs. Finally, please note and explain if the State's cost increase calculation includes more factors than a price increase such as changes in technology, practice patterns, and/or units of service PMPM.
- The State estimated the PMPM cost changes in units of service, technology and/or practice patterns that would occur in the waiver separate from cost increase. Utilization adjustments made were service-specific and expressed as percentage factors. The State has documented how utilization and cost increases were not duplicated. This adjustment reflects the changes in utilization between R2 and P1 and between years P1 and P2.
 - i. Please indicate the years on which the utilization rate was based (if calculated separately only).
 - ii. Please document how the utilization did not duplicate separate cost increase trends.
- b. ____State Plan Services Programmatic/Policy/Pricing Change Adjustment: These adjustments should account for any programmatic changes that are not cost neutral and that affect the Waiver Cost Projection. For example, changes in rates, changes brought about by legal action, or changes brought about by legislation. For example, Federal mandates, changes in hospital payment from per diem rates to Diagnostic Related Group (DRG) rates or changes in the benefit coverage of the FFS program. This

adjustment must be mutually exclusive of trend and CANNOT be taken twice. The State must document how it ensures there is no duplication with trend. If the State is changing one of the aspects noted above in the FFS State Plan then the State needs to estimate the impact of that adjustment. Note: FFP on rates cannot be claimed until CMS approves the SPA per the 1/2/01 SMD letter. Prior approval of capitation rates is contingent upon approval of the SPA. The R2 data was adjusted for changes that will occur after the R2 (BY for conversion) and during P1 and P2 that affect the overall Medicaid program.

Others:

- Additional State Plan Services (+)
- Reductions in State Plan Services (-)
- Legislative or Court Mandated Changes to the Program Structure or fee schedule not accounted for in Cost increase or pricing (+/-)
- Graduate Medical Education (GME) Changes This adjustment accounts for **changes** in any GME payments in the program. 42 CFR 438.6(c)(5) specifies that States can include or exclude GME payments from the capitation rates. However, GME payments must be included in cost-effectiveness calculations.
- Copayment Changes This adjustment accounts for changes from R2 to P1 in any copayments that are collected under the FFS program, but not collected in the MCO/PIHP/PAHP capitated program. States must ensure that these copayments are included in the Waiver Cost Projection if not to be collected in the capitated program. If the State is changing the copayments in the FFS program then the State needs to estimate the impact of that adjustment.

change	tate has chosen not to make an adjustment because there were no programmatic or policy es in the FFS program after the MMIS claims tape was created. In addition, the State
	pates no programmatic or policy changes during the waiver period.
	justment was necessary and is listed and described below:
i	The State projects an externally driven State Medicaid managed care rate
	increases/decreases between the base and rate periods.
	For each change, please report the following:
	AThe size of the adjustment was based upon a newly approved State Plan Amendment (SPA). PMPM size of adjustment
	BThe size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA. Approximate PMPM size of adjustment
	CDetermine adjustment based on currently approved SPA. PMPM size of adjustment
	DOther (please describe):
ii	The State has projected no externally driven managed care rate increases/decreases in the
m	managed care rates.
iii	The adjustment is a one-time only adjustment that should be deducted out of subsequent waiver renewal projections (i.e., start-up costs). Please explain:
iv	Changes brought about by legal action (please describe):
	For each change, please report the following:
	AThe size of the adjustment was based upon a newly approved State Plan Amendment (SPA). PMPM size of adjustment
	BThe size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA. Approximate PMPM size of adjustment
	CDetermine adjustment based on currently approved SPA. PMPM size of adjustment
	D. Other (please describe):
	change anticip An ad i ii

	v	_ Changes in legislation (please describe):
		For each change, please report the following:
		AThe size of the adjustment was based upon a newly approved State Plan
		Amendment (SPA). PMPM size of adjustment
		B The size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA. Approximate PMPM size
		of adjustment
		CDetermine adjustment based on currently approved SPA. PMPM size of
		adjustment
		DOther (please describe):
	vi	
	· · ·	AThe size of the adjustment was based upon a newly approved State Plan
		Amendment (SPA). PMPM size of adjustment
		B The size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA. Approximate PMPM size
		of adjustment
		CDetermine adjustment based on currently approved SPA. PMPM size of
		adjustment
		DOther (please describe):
		/
c	Administr	ative Cost Adjustment: This adjustment accounts for changes in the managed care
	program. T	he administrative expense factor in the renewal is based on the administrative costs for the
		pulation participating in the waiver for managed care. Examples of these costs include per
	claim clain	as processing costs, additional per record PRO review costs, and additional Surveillance and
		Review System (SURS) costs; as well as actuarial contracts, consulting, encounter data
		independent assessments, EQRO reviews, etc. Note: one-time administration costs should
	not be built	t into the cost-effectiveness test on a long-term basis. States should use all relevant Medicaid
	administra	tion claiming rules for administration costs they attribute to the managed care program. If
	the State is	changing the administration in the managed care program then the State needs to estimate
	the impact	of that adjustment.
	1 No	adjustment was necessary and no change is anticipated.
	2 An	administrative adjustment was made.
	i	Administrative functions will change in the period between the beginning of P1 and the
		end of P2. Please describe:
	ii	Cost increases were accounted for.
		ADetermine administration adjustment based upon an approved contract or cost
		allocation plan amendment (CAP).
		BDetermine administration adjustment based on pending contract or cost allocation
		plan amendment (CAP).
		CState Historical State Administrative Inflation. The actual trend rate used is:
		Please document how that trend was calculated:
		DOther (please describe):
	iii	<u> </u>
		with a governmental entity. No other State administrative adjustment is allowed.] If cost
		increase trends are unknown and in the future, the State must use the lower of: Actual
		State administration costs trended forward at the State historical administration trend rate
		or Actual State administration costs trended forward at the State Plan services trend rate.
		Please document both trend rates and indicate which trend rate was used.
		A. Actual State Administration costs trended forward at the State historical

administration trend rate. Please indicate the years on which the rates are based:

	exponential smoothing, etc.). Finally, please note and explain if the State's cost increase calculation includes more factors than a price increase. B. Actual State Administration costs trended forward at the State Plan Service Trend rate. Please indicate the State Plan Service trend rate from Section D.I.J.a . above
d.	 1915(b)(3) Trend Adjustment: The State must document the amount of 1915(b)(3) services in the R1/R2/BY Section D.I.H.a above. The R1/R2/BY already includes the actual trend for the 1915(b)(3) services in the program. This adjustment reflects the expected trend in the 1915(b)(3) services between the R2/BY and P1 of the waiver and the trend between the beginning of the program (P1) and the end of the program (P2). Trend adjustments may be service-specific and expressed as percentage factors. 1 [Required, if the State's BY or R2 is more than 3 months prior to the beginning of P1 to trend BY or R2 to P1] The State is using the actual State historical trend to project past data to the current time period (i.e., trending from 1999 to present). The actual documented trend is: Please provide documentation. 2 [Required, when the State's BY or R2 is trended to P2. No other 1915(b)(3) adjustment is allowed] If trends are unknown and in the future (i.e., trending from present into the future), the State must use the lower of State historical 1915(b)(3) trend or the State's trend for State Plan Services. Please document both trend rates and indicate which trend rate was used. i. State historical 1915(b)(3) trend rates 1. Please indicate the years on which the rates are based: base years
e.	 Incentives (not in capitated payment) Trend Adjustment: Trend is limited to the rate for State Plan services. 1. List the State Plan trend rate by MEG from Section D.I.J.a 2. List the Incentive trend rate by MEG if different from Section D.I.J.a 3. Explain any differences:
f.	 Other Adjustments including but not limited to federal government changes. (Please describe): If the federal government changes policy affecting Medicaid reimbursement, the State must adjust P1 and P2 to reflect all changes

__ In addition, please indicate the mathematical

method used (multiple regression, linear regression, chi-square, least squares,

- adjust P1 and P2 to reflect all changes.
- Once the State's FFS institutional excess UPL is phased out, CMS will no longer match excess institutional UPL payments.
 - Excess payments addressed through transition periods should not be included in the 1915(b) cost-effectiveness process. Any State with excess payments should exclude the excess amount and only include the supplemental amount under 100% of the institutional UPL in the cost effectiveness process.
 - For all other payments made under the UPL, including supplemental payments, the costs should be included in the cost effectiveness calculations. This would apply to PCCM enrollees and to PAHP, PIHP or MCO enrollees if the institutional services were

provided as FFS wrap-around. The recipient of the supplemental payment does not matter for the purposes of this analysis.

- Pharmacy Rebate Factor Adjustment (Conversion Waivers Only)*: Rebates that States receive from drug manufacturers should be deducted from Base Year costs if pharmacy services are included in the capitated base. If the base year costs are not reduced by the rebate factor, an inflated BY would result. Pharmacy rebates should also be deducted from FFS costs if pharmacy services are impacted by the waiver but not capitated. Basis and Method:
- 1.__X _Determine the percentage of Medicaid pharmacy costs that the rebates represent and adjust the base year costs by this percentage. States may want to make separate adjustments for prescription versus over the counter drugs and for different rebate percentages by population. States may assume that the rebates for the targeted population occur in the same proportion as the rebates for the total Medicaid population. Please account for this adjustment in Appendix D5.
- 2.___ The State has not made this adjustment because pharmacy is not an included capitation service and the capitated contractor's providers do not prescribe drugs that are paid for by the State in FFS.
- 3.___ Other (please describe):
- 1.___ No adjustment was made.
- 2. <u>X</u>_This adjustment was made (Please describe). This adjustment must be mathematically accounted for in Appendix D5. We determined a PMPM adjustment based on estimated drug rebate figures and then determined the percentage adjustment and applied it to Appendix D5 Column L.

K. Appendix D5 – Waiver Cost Projection

The State should complete these appendices and include explanations of all adjustments in **Section D.I.I** and **D.I.J** above.

L. Appendix D6 – RO Targets

The State should complete these appendices and include explanations of all trends in enrollment in **Section D.I.E.** above.

M. Appendix D7 - Summary

- a. Please explain any variance in the overall percentage change in spending from BY/R1 to P2.
 - 1. Please explain caseload changes contributing to the overall annualized rate of change in **Appendix D7 Column I.** This response should be consistent with or the same as the answer given by the State in **Section D.I.E.c & d**: **The overall spending change is being driven by the state's move to make Healthy Connections a mandatory program. In July 2001 the program had ~29% of all eligibles enrolled by December of 2003 that had grown to ~71%. The goal is to get to ~85% of total eligibles enrolled. The balance of ~15% accounts for those groups not eligible plus those in transition.**
 - 2. Please explain unit cost changes contributing to the overall annualized rate of change in Appendix D7 Column I. This response should be consistent with or the same as the answer given by the State in the State's explanation of cost increase given in Section D.I.I and D.I.J: The state used it's historical experience and trended it forward using simple linear regression to determine the overall rate of growth found in D7 column I.(except in the case

of PWC Adult MEG where an medical care service inflator was used). We did not separate cost growth from utilization growth. The growth of administrative costs was set at 3% based on a figure supplied by our management services division who tracks these costs.

3. Please explain utilization changes contributing to the overall annualized rate of change in **Appendix D7 Column I.** This response should be consistent with or the same as the answer given by the State in the State's explanation of utilization given in **Section D.I.I and D.I.J**: In trending the PMPM costs for each MEG, we used an overall growth rate and did not separate unit cost growth from utilization growth.

Please note any other principal factors contributing to the overall annualized rate of change in **Appendix D7 Column I.**

Part II: Appendices D.1-7

Please see attached Excel spreadsheets.